		E-SERVICE	
		F J F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F	
1	APR 1 3 2023		
2	CLEBK OF THE COURT		
3	BY:		
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	SUDEDIOD COUD		
° 9	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO		
	DEPARTMENT 613		
11 12	JONATHAN SPIRO and SIMONE KAPLAN,	Case No. CGC-17-562293	
	individually and on behalf of others similarly situated,		
13		ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY	
14	Plaintiffs,	APPROVAL OF CLASS SETTLEMENT	
15	v.		
16	TRINITY MANAGEMENT SERVICES, INC.; 1188 MISSION STREET LP; 1890 CLAY		
17	STREET LP; 2240 GOLDEN GATE AVE LLC;		
18	CRYSTAL TOWER PARTNERS LLC; SANGIACOMO FAMILY LP; TRINITY G2		
19	HOLDING LLC; JAMES SANGIACOMO; SUSAN SANGIACOMO; and DOES 1–50		
20	inclusive,		
21	Defendants.		
22			
23	Plaintiffs' unopposed motion for preliminary approval of the settlement of this class action was set		
24	to be heard on March 9, 2023, at 9:00 a.m. in Department 613, the Honorable Andrew Y.S. Cheng		
25	presiding. At the request of the parties, the Court vacated the hearing and considered the moving papers.		
26	Based on the Court's review, the Court requested supplemental briefing. The parties timely submitted		
27	their supplemental briefing, including an amended settlement agreement and forms of notice. The Court		
28		1_	

Jonathan Spiro, et al. v. Trinity Management Services, et al., CGC-17-562293 Order Granting Preliminary Approval

1 has thoroughly reviewed and considered the proposed Amended Settlement Agreement, appended as 2 Exhibit 1 to the supplemental declaration of attorney Brian Devine, the filings related to the motion for 3 preliminary approval, and the pleadings on file in this action. The Court finds the matter suitable for 4 disposition without oral argument, **GRANTS** the motion, and **ORDERS** as follows: 5 1. All terms or phrases used in this order shall have the same meaning as in the Amended 6 Settlement Agreement unless otherwise specified. 7 2. The Amended Settlement Agreement preliminarily appears to be within the range of 8 possible final approval as a fair, sufficient, and reasonable settlement, such that notice should be provided 9 to the class. 10 3. The Court previously certified the following class and confirms that the criteria for 11 certification remain satisfied for settlement purposes: 12 All individuals who, at any time between November 3, 2014 and March 12, 2020: 13 Rented or leased any residential property located in San Francisco that, at any time during 14 his or her tenancy, was: (1) owned, managed, operated, or maintained by Defendant Trinity Management Services, and (2) subject to the San Francisco Residential Rent Stabilization 15 and Arbitration Ordinance, codified at Chapter 37 of the San Francisco Administrative 16 Code, and 17 Paid Defendant Trinity Management Services and/or its agents for trash, recycling, water, or sewer services; and 18 Where such payments were based on calculations based on the Utility Invoicing and 19 Allocation Addendum attached to and incorporated into the Tenant's Lease at said property. 20 4. The settlement class meets the requirements for certification under Code of Civil 21 Procedure section 382. Specifically, for settlement purposes: (1) the class is numerous and ascertainable; 22 (2) there are predominant common questions of law or fact; (3) Plaintiffs' claims are typical of the claims 23 of the members of the class; and (4) a class action is superior to other methods to efficiently adjudicate 24 this controversy through settlement. 25 5. For settlement purposes, the Court confirms the appointment of Jonathan Spiro and 26 Simone Kaplan. The Court finds that Spiro and Kaplan will adequately represent the settlement class in 27 accordance with Code of Civil Procedure section 382. 28

Jonathan Spiro, et al. v. Trinity Management Services, et al., CGC-17-562293 Order Granting Preliminary Approval

6. For settlement purposes, the Court confirms the appointment of Kenneth Seeger and Brian Devine of Seeger Devine LLP as Class Counsel. The Court preliminarily finds that Class Counsel will represent the interests of the settlement class fairly and adequately in accordance with Code of Civil Procedure section 382.

7. CPT Group, Inc. is appointed as the settlement administrator. The Court directs CPT Group, Inc. to carry out all of the duties and responsibilities as set forth in the Amended Settlement Agreement and this order, including *inter alia* the provision of notice to and receipt of objections by members of the settlement class.

8. The parties' proposed forms of notice, as revised and submitted with the parties' supplemental briefing, are approved as to form and content subject to the following typographical corrections: Question 7, delete the word "make" in "You may make do so…."; Question 25, insert the word "is" in stating the final fairness hearing is open to the public. Class Counsel shall proofread the approved notice documents to correct any typos and fill in all blanks, including all highlighted dates, addresses and/or websites in accordance with this Order prior to the distribution of the notices.

9. The proposed plan for distributing notice and the related procedures for opt-outs and objections are approved, meet the requirements of due process, and constitute the best notice practicable under the circumstances. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.769(f).)

10. A final approval hearing is set for **July 20, 2023, at 10:00 a.m.** in Department 613 of the Superior Court of California, County of San Francisco, located at 400 McAllister Street, San Francisco, California 94102. At the final approval hearing, the Court will consider whether the Amended Settlement Agreement is fair, whether Class Counsel's application for fees and costs should be approved, whether Plaintiffs' requests for service awards should be approved, and any other matters that the Court deems appropriate.

 $\parallel$ 

//

1	11. The following dates are set:		
2	Event	Triggering Event	Date
3	Preliminary Approval		April 13, 2023
4	Defendant to Provide Class List	Within 5 Days of	April 18, 2023
5	to Settlement Administrator	Preliminary Approval	
6	Distribution of Notice (by mail	Within 21 Days of	May 4, 2023
7	and email) and Website Go-Live	Preliminary Approval	
8	Date		
9	Mailing or Remailing of Notice	Within 5 days of Notice of	
0	for Undeliverable Emails and	Returned Mail or Email	
1	Returned Mail		
2	Deadline for Plaintiffs to File a		June 13, 2023
3	Motion for Attorneys' Fees,		
4	Costs, and Service Awards		
5	Deadline to Object in Writing or		June 20, 2023
6	Request Exclusion from Class		
7	Deadline for Plaintiffs to File a		June 27, 2023
8	Motion for Final Approval of		
9	Class Action Settlement		
0	Final Approval Hearing	L	July 20, 2023, at 10:00 a.m.

12. The Court may change the date of the final approval hearing without further notice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 13, 2023

J.S. C

i

ANDREW Y.S. CHENG ' Judge of the Superior Court

## CERTIFICATE OF ELECTRONIC SERVICE (CCP 1010.6(6) & CRC 2.251)

I, CLARK BANAYAD, a Deputy Clerk of the Superior Court of the County of San Francisco, certify that I am not a party to the within action.

On April 13, 2023, I electronically served the ATTACHED DOCUMENT(S) via File&ServeXpress on the recipients designated on the Transaction Receipt located on the File&ServeXpress website.

Dated: April 13, 2023

Mark Caulkin, Interim Clerk

Dad Churged By: CLARK BANAYAD, Deputy Clerk